

The TPP is an Assault on our National Sovereignty

The Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) is a proposal of merging America with eleven Pacific Rim nations. Its terms have been finalized by Obama and the other nations involved and is now awaiting Congressional approval. It is to be voted on by both the House and the Senate.

But, wait a minute! A treaty requires a two-thirds vote of the Senate. Sure, but Obama couldn't get that many votes, so he called it a Partnership, and a complacent Congress went along. Then, Congress voted for Fast Track, which facilitates the final vote, making it much easier for it to get passed. It will be voted on without a filibuster or discussion, just a straight up or down vote with only a simple majority.

The gist of TPP is that it would bind our country into a regional government that would be the governing body of America, taking precedence over our Constitution. We would be ruled by its dictates and no longer would we have the protection of our God-given rights that our Constitution provides.

Sound preposterous? That is what happened in Europe. What has become the European Union (EU) was sold in 1952 to the European nations as a simple trade agreement, the European Coal and Steel Community (ECSC), as being something good for jobs and their economy. The ECSC has morphed into the EU, the supranational entity that rules the former independent European nations. They are no longer free countries.

Not only has the EU dictated economic matters, new EU regulations hinder the use of healthy alternative health care options by banning thousands of nutritional supplements and herbal remedies, greatly reducing their availability. Thus is the pattern of these mergers.

Here, TPP is being hyped as a trade pact. A free trade pact could be done in one page. Do we need another trade pact? We have already started down that road by signing on to NAFTA (North American Free Trade Agreement). Where has that taken us? How often are we frustrated by calling a company only to find ourselves trying to decipher what we are being told by someone who is unable to communicate with proper English? How many people have lost their jobs to someone overseas? These are the result of NAFTA – a free trade agreement. Why would we want any more such partnerships?

And, furthermore, TPP has about five pages on trade out of a total of about a couple thousand pages. Other considerations by the eleven nations of the TPP have been: immigration, climate change, sustainable development, homeland security, the military, and international courts. The terms were debated and a final version was agreed upon, but who knows what is in it? The document is being kept secret from the public. Even the legislators who will be voting on it have been hampered. Before the Fast Track vote, they were allowed to see the then-version only by going to the White House basement where they were given a few pages at a time.

They were not allowed to take any recording devices or take notes. And, they were forbidden from telling any private citizen what they read. Does that sound like something we would be eager to have?

Political pundits have said that Obama has pushed far more for this than he has for any other piece of legislation. You can be sure he would not be doing that for a simple trade bill, nor would he keep the terms secret.

The labor unions, Hillary Clinton, and Bernie Sanders all oppose TTP but only because of the lost jobs effect, not the rest of the terms. Some Republicans are sold on it, thinking that it is a good trade deal that would counter Chinese exports. They should know that once TPP is in place, China can be admitted to TPP and we can do nothing to stop it.

Can it be stopped? The Globalists' proposals for merging countries into regional units that can then be merged into the United Nations are nothing new. One such was during George Bush the younger's term when the proposal was to merge Canada, America and Mexico into one regional government, the North American Union (NAU). But a massive educational effort by the John Birch Society and their allies brought enough opposition to bear so that the NAU failed to materialize. The globalist powers-that-be put it on the back burner and are subsequently pushing for other plans: The Treaty of the Sea (global control of the oceans), the Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP) (merging America into the EU), Trade in Services Agreement (adversely affecting our jobs in services), and the one up for passage right now, the TPP.

We can stop it again. You may think that asking your legislators to oppose TTP is a wasted effort. And, true, in some instances, it may not change their vote, but it would still have an impact. Before legislation is brought up for a vote, the promoters take notice of how much opposition there is to it. If it is sufficient, they will postpone the vote or come up with a different angle.

This was the case in the 1970's when the plan was to merge all the countries in the world directly into the United Nations (UN). But, there was sufficient exposure of the UN, spearheaded by The John Birch Society, that it was necessary for the globalists to abandon that plan; and, as a result, we have been given all these years of freedom as an independent nation. Plan B then became the formation of regional governments that can then be merged into the UN. We now see that being implemented.

So, spread the word and ask others to do what you have done, which is to contact your Representative and two Senators in D.C. and urge them to vote NO to TPP.

By Sue Long

Committee for Constitutional Government ,Post Office Box 972, Gloucester, VA 23061